

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/483,084	01/14/2000	William R. Campbell	051091-0401	7886
75	90 09/08/2003			
RICHARD SAN PIETRO ESQ.			EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER P.O. BOX 802278			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278			f T	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	26

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/483,084	CAMPBELL ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alton N. Pryor	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shet with the c	orrespond nc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	<u>une 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 4	.J. O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 12-16,39-44 and 58-86 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>58,72-77</u> is/are allowed.					
6)  Claim(s) <u>12-16,39,41-43,62,64-71 and 78-86</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Cłaim(s) <u>40,44,59-61 and 63</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/483,084

Art Unit: 1616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-16,39,41,43,62,64-71,78-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komer (US 5773422; 6/30/98). Komer teaches a non-aqueous antiparasitic composition comprising polysorbate 80 (emulsifier), propylene glycol (polyol), n-methylpyrrrolidone, benzyl alcohol, and ivermectin or oxytetracycline. Komer teaches that the composition can be diluted with water and the composition is topically applied. See abstract, column 2 line 10 – column 6 line 31.

Claims 62,64,65,67-71,78-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lo et al (EP 045 655; 2/10/82). Lo teaches a non-aqueous composition comprising polysorbate 80 (emulsifier), propylene glycol (polyol), n-nethylpyrrrolidone, benzyl alcohol, and ivermectin. Lo teaches that the composition can be diluted with water and the composition is topically applied. See abstract, page 2 line 9 – page 6 line 10.

Claims 62,64,65,67-71,78-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grant et al (WO 9818463; 5/7/98). Grant teaches a non-aqueous antiparasitic composition comprising polysorbate 80 (emulsifier), propylene glycol

Application/Control Number: 09/483,084

Art Unit: 1616

(polyol), n-nethylpyrrrolidone, benzyl alcohol, and ivermectin. Grant teaches that the composition can be diluted with water and the composition is topically applied. See abstract, page 1 line 4 – page 5 line 21, page 9 line 15-28, page 14 lines 1-20

Claims 78-81,84,86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lo on record. Lo teaches a non-aqueous composition comprising ivermectin (biological active), Tween 80 (emulsifier), glycerol formal (polyol) and benzyl alcohol (monohydric alcohol). The composition can be diluted with water. Lo teaches a method of administering the above composition via injection. See abstract, column 4 lines 41-46.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komer on record as applied to claims 12-16,39,41,43,64-71,78-86 above in view of Grant on record. Komer teaches all that is recited in claim 42 except for the invention comprising abamectin. However, Grant teaches that an antiparasitic composition comprising abamectin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the composition of Komer to include the abamectin taught by Grant. One would have been motivated to do this in order to enhance the overall effectiveness of the antiparasitic composition.

Art Unit: 1616

#### Other Matters

Claims 59-61 are depended from cancelled claim 52. Please correct.

### Claim Objection / Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 40, 44,63 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 58,72-77 are allowable. The prior art does not teach or suggest applying benzyl alcohol to plants or crops or ground. The prior art does not teach or suggest administering instant formulation of claim 58 in the drinking water of animals.

## Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 703 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616